

<p style="text-align: center; font-weight: bold; font-size: 1.2em;">Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</p>	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%; padding: 2px;"> Application No. 10/567,585 </td> <td style="width: 50%; padding: 2px;"> Applicant(s) HILL, DENNIS </td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding: 2px;"> Examiner Patrick F. O'Reilly III </td> <td style="padding: 2px;"> Art Unit 3749 </td> </tr> </table>	Application No. 10/567,585	Applicant(s) HILL, DENNIS	Examiner Patrick F. O'Reilly III	Art Unit 3749
Application No. 10/567,585	Applicant(s) HILL, DENNIS				
Examiner Patrick F. O'Reilly III	Art Unit 3749				

All Participants:

(1) Patrick F. O'Reilly III.

(2) Jeremy A. Schweigert, Attorney for Applicant.

Status of Application: Allowable

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 2 June 2009

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Time: 1:30 pm

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

Claims 1, 6, 7, and 8.

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Steven B. McAllister/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3749

Patrick F. O'Reilly III/
Examiner, Art Unit 3749

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

The examiner discussed minor substantive changes in independent claim 1 with the applicant's attorney. In addition, the examiner also discussed minor changes in dependent claims 6, 7, and 8 with the applicant's attorney in order to make the language used in these claims consistent with the newly added language in base claim 1. After consulting with his client, the applicant's attorney agreed to all of the changes proposed by the examiner to place the claims in a condition for allowance. The specific changes are documented in the attached Examiner's Amendment.